NOT TO BE PUBLISHED

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA THIRD APPELLATE DISTRICT

(Sacramento)

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

C077426

(Super. Ct. No. 14F01393)

v.

JAMES BYRON LOGERO,

Defendant and Appellant.

This case comes to us pursuant to *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436. Having reviewed the record as required by *Wende*, we affirm the judgment.

We provide the following brief description of the facts and procedural history of the case. (See *People v. Kelly* (2006) 40 Cal.4th 106, 110, 124.)

In February 2014, defendant James Byron Logero possessed 55 grams of methamphetamine, a digital scale, razor blades, and over \$1,000 in cash. A complaint charged defendant with possession of methamphetamine for sale (Health & Saf. Code, \$11378) and corporal injury resulting in a traumatic condition upon a cohabitant. (Pen.

Code, § 273.5, subd. (a).)¹ Defendant pleaded no contest to unlawful possession of methamphetamine and the remaining charge was dismissed. Pursuant to the plea agreement, the trial court suspended imposition of sentence and placed defendant on five years' probation.

The trial court imposed a \$300 restitution fund fine (§ 1202.4, subd. (b)) and a matching probation revocation fine (§ 1202.44), stayed pending successful completion of probation. As a term of his probation, the trial court ordered defendant to serve 180 days in county jail, with credit served for one day. The trial court ordered defendant to participate in drug rehabilitation. The trial court also ordered defendant to pay a mandatory laboratory fee of \$50, plus \$130 in penalties and assessments, a \$150 drug program fee, plus \$460 in penalties and assessments, a \$25 urinalysis testing fee, a \$46 monthly probation supervision fee, a \$40 court security fee, and a \$30 court facility fee. The trial court granted defendant's request for certificate of probable cause.

We appointed counsel to represent defendant on appeal. Counsel filed an opening brief setting forth the facts of the case and, pursuant to *Wende*, *supra*, 25 Cal.3d 436, requesting the court to review the record and determine whether there are any arguable issues on appeal. Defendant was advised by counsel of the right to file a supplemental brief within 30 days of the date of filing of the opening brief. We have undertaken an examination of the entire record pursuant to *Wende*, and we find no arguable error that would result in a disposition more favorable to defendant.

-

¹ Undesignated statutory references are to the Penal Code in effect at the time of the charged offenses.

DISPOSITION

The judgment is affirmed.

		MURRAY	, J.
We concur:			
BLEASE	, Acting P. J.		
NICHOLSON	, J.		